

Instructor's Solutions Manual

Operations Management

12th Edition

Principles of Operations Management

10th Edition

Jay Heizer

Texas Lutheran University

Barry Render

Rollins College

Chuck Munson

Washington State University

PEARSON

Boston Columbus Indianapolis New York San Francisco
Amsterdam Cape Town Dubai London Madrid Milan Munich Paris Montréal Toronto
Delhi Mexico City São Paulo Sydney Hong Kong Seoul Singapore Taipei Tokyo

Contents

Chapter 1

Operations and Productivity 1

- Discussion Questions 1
- Ethical Dilemma 2
 - American Car Battery Industry 2
- End-of-Chapter Problems 2
- Case Study 5
 - Uber Technologies, Inc. 5
- Video Case Studies 5
 - Frito-Lay: Operations Management in Manufacturing 5
 - Hard Rock Cafe: Operations Management in Services 6
- Additional Case Studies 7
 - National Air Express 7
 - Zychol Chemicals Corporation 7

Chapter 2

Operations Strategy in a Global Environment 9

- Discussion Questions 9
- Ethical Dilemma 10
- End-of-Chapter Problems 10
- Case Study 12
 - Rapid-Lube 12
- Video Case Studies 12
 - Strategy at Regal Marine 12
 - Hard Rock Cafe's Global Strategy 13
 - Outsourcing Offshore at Darden 14
- Additional Case Study 14
 - Outsourcing to TATA 14

Chapter 3

Project Management 15

- Discussion Questions 15
- Ethical Dilemma 16
- Active Model Exercise 16
 - ACTIVE MODEL 3.1: Gantt Chart 16
- End-of-Chapter Problems 17
- Case Study 26
 - Southwestern University: A 26
- Video Case Studies 26
 - Project Management At Arnold Palmer Hospital 26
 - Managing Hard Rock's Rockfest 27
- Additional Case Study 28
 - Shale Oil Company 28

Chapter 4

Forecasting 31

- Discussion Questions 31

- Ethical Dilemma 32
- Active Model Exercises* 33
 - ACTIVE MODEL 4.1: Moving Averages 33
 - ACTIVE MODEL 4.2: Exponential Smoothing 33
 - ACTIVE MODEL 4.3: Exponential Smoothing with Trend Adjustment 33
 - ACTIVE MODEL 4.4: Trend Projections 33
- End-of-Chapter Problems 33
- Case Study 51
 - Southwestern University: B 51
- Video Case Studies 52
 - Forecasting Ticket Revenue for Orlando Magic Basketball Games 52
 - Forecasting at Hard Rock Cafe 52
- Additional Case Studies 53
 - The North-South Airlines 53
 - Digital Cell Phone, Inc. 54

Chapter 5

Design of Goods and Services 55

- Discussion Questions 55
- Ethical Dilemma 56
- Active Model Exercise 56
 - Active Model 5.1: Decision Tree 56
- End-of-Chapter Problems 57
- Case Study 67
 - De Mar's Product Strategy 67
- Video Case Study 67
 - Product Design at Regal Marine 67

Supplement 5

Sustainability in the Supply Chain 68

- Discussion Questions 68
- End-of-Supplement Problems 68
- Video Case Studies 70
 - Building Sustainability at the Orlando Magic's Amway Center 70
 - Green Manufacturing And Sustainability at Frito-Lay 71
- Additional Case Study 71
 - Environmental Sustainability at Walmart 71

Chapter 6

Managing Quality 73

- Discussion Questions 73
- Ethical Dilemma 74
- Active Model Exercise* 74
 - ACTIVE MODEL 6.1: Pareto Charts 74
- End-of-Chapter Problems 75
- Case Study 82
 - Southwestern University: C 82

Video Case Studies 84	
The Culture of Quality at Arnold Palmer Hospital 84	
Quality Counts at Alaska Airlines 85	
Quality at the Ritz-Carlton Hotel 85	
Additional Case Study 86	
Westover Electrical, Inc. 86	
Supplement 6	
Statistical Process Control 87	
Discussion Questions 87	
Active Model Exercises* 88	
ACTIVE MODEL S6.1: \bar{X} -bar Chart 88	
ACTIVE MODEL S6.2: p -Chart—with data 88	
ACTIVE MODEL S6.3: Process Capability 88	
End-of-Supplement Problems 88	
Case Study 98	
Bayfield Mud Company 98	
Video Case Studies 99	
Frito-Lay's Quality-Controlled Potato Chips 99	
Farm to Fork: Quality at Darden Restaurants 99	
Additional Case Study 100	
Green River Chemical Co. 100	
Chapter 7	
Process Strategy 101	
Discussion Questions 101	
Ethical Dilemma 102	
Active Model Exercise 102	
ACTIVE MODEL 7.1: Crossover Chart 102	
End-of-Chapter Problems 103	
Case Study 106	
Rochester Manufacturing's Process Decision 106	
Video Case Studies 106	
Alaska Airlines 20-Minute Baggage Process—Guaranteed! 106	
Process Analysis at Arnold Palmer Hospital 107	
Process Strategy at Wheeled Coach 107	
Additional Case Study 109	
Matthew Yachts, Inc. 109	
Supplement 7	
Capacity and Constraint Management 110	
Discussion Questions 110	
Active Model Exercises 111	
ACTIVE MODEL S7.1: Productivity 111	
ACTIVE MODEL S7.2: Break-even Analysis 111	
End-of-Supplement Problems 111	
Video Case Study 118	
Capacity Planning at Arnold Palmer Hospital 118	
Additional Case Study 119	
Southwestern University: D 119	
Chapter 8	
Location Strategies 121	
Discussion Questions 121	
Ethical Dilemma 122	
Active Model Exercise 122	
ACTIVE MODEL 8.1: Center of Gravity 122	
End-of-Chapter Problems 122	
Case Study 130	
Southern Recreational Vehicle Company 130	
Video Case Studies 131	
Locating the Next Red Lobster Restaurant 131	
Where to Place the Hard Rock Cafe 131	
Additional Case Study 133	
Southwestern University: E 133	
Chapter 9	
Layout Strategies 134	
Discussion Questions 134	
Ethical Dilemma 135	
Active Model Exercise 136	
ACTIVE MODEL 9.1: Process Layout 136	
End-of-Chapter Problems 136	
Case Study 149	
State Automobile License Renewals 149	
Video Case Studies 150	
Laying out Arnold Palmer Hospital's New Facility 150	
Facility Layout at Wheeled Coach 151	
Additional Case Study 151	
Microfix, inc. 151	
Chapter 10	
Human Resources, Job Design, and Work Measurement 152	
Discussion Questions 152	
Active Model Exercise 153	
ACTIVE MODEL 10.1: Work Sampling 153	
Ethical Dilemma 153	
End-of-Chapter Problems 153	
Case Study 168	
Jackson Manufacturing Co. 168	
Video Case Studies 169	
The "People" Focus: Human Resources at Alaska Airlines 169	
Hard Rock's Human Resource Strategy 169	
Additional Case Studies 170	
Chicago Southern Hospital 170	
The Fleet that Wanders 170	
Chapter 11	
Supply Chain Management 171	
Discussion Questions 171	
Ethical Dilemma 172	
End-of-Chapter Problems 172	
Video Case Studies 173	
Darden's Global Supply Chains 173	
Supply Chain Management at Regal Marine 174	
Arnold Palmer Hospital's Supply Chain 174	

Supplement 11**Supply Chain Management Analytics 176**

Discussion Questions 176

End-of-Supplement Problems 177

Chapter 12**Inventory Management 184**

Discussion Questions 184

Ethical Dilemma 185

Active Model Exercises 185

ACTIVE MODEL 12.1: Economic Order Quantity
(EOQ) Model 185ACTIVE MODEL 12.2: Production Order Quantity
Model 185

End-of-Chapter Problems 186

Case Studies 195

Zhou Bicycle Company 195

Parker Hi-Fi Systems 196

Video Case Studies 196

Managing Inventory at Frito-Lay 196

Inventory Control at Wheeled Coach 197

Additional Case Studies 197

Southwestern University: F 197

Laplace Power and Light 199

Chapter 13**Aggregate Planning and S&OP 200**

Discussion Questions 200

Ethical Dilemma 201

Active Model Exercise 201

ACTIVE MODEL 13.1: Aggregate Planning 201

End-of-Chapter Problems 202

Case Study 220

Andrew-Carter, Inc. 220

Video Case Study 220

Using Revenue Management to Set Orlando Magic
Ticket Prices 220

Additional Case Studies 220

Cornwell Glass 220

Southwestern University: (G) 222

Chapter 14**Material Requirements Planning (MRP) and
ERP 224**

Discussion Questions 224

Ethical Dilemma 225

Active Model Exercise 226

ACTIVE MODEL 14.1: Order Releases 226

End-of-Chapter Problems 226

Video Case Studies 249

When 18,500 Orlando Magic Fans Come to
Dinner 249

MRP At Wheeled Coach 250

Additional Case Studies 251

IKON'S Attempt at ERP 251

Hill's Automotive, Inc. 251

Chapter 15**Short-Term Scheduling 252**

Discussion Questions 252

Ethical Dilemma 252

Active Model Exercise 253

ACTIVE MODEL 15.1: Job Shop Sequencing 253

End-of-Chapter Problems 253

Case Study 265

Old Oregon Wood Store 265

Video Case Studies 266

From the Eagles to The Magic: Converting the
Amway Center 266

Scheduling at Hard Rock Cafe 267

Additional Case Study 267

Payroll Planning, Inc. 267

Chapter 16**Lean Operations 268**

Discussion Questions 268

Ethical Dilemma 268

End-of-Chapter Problems 269

Video Case Studies 271

Lean Operations at Alaska Airlines 271

JIT at Arnold Palmer Hospital 271

Additional Case Studies 271

JIT After a Catastrophe 271

Mutual Insurance Company of Iowa 272

Chapter 17**Maintenance and Reliability 273**

Discussion Questions 273

Ethical Dilemma 274

Active Model Exercises 274

ACTIVE MODEL 17.1: Series Reliability 274

ACTIVE MODEL 17.2: Redundancy 274

ACTIVE MODEL 17.3: Parallel Systems 274

End-of-Chapter Problems 274

Video Case Study 276

Maintenance Drives Profits at Frito-Lay 276

Additional Case Studies 277

Cartak's Department Store 277

Worldwide Chemical Company 277

Business Analytics Module A**Decision-Making Tools 278**

Discussion Questions 278

End-of-Module Problems 279

Case Study 288

Warehouse Tenting at the Port of Miami 288

Additional Case Studies 288

Arctic, Inc. 288

Ski Right Corp. 289

Tom Tucker's Liver Transplant 290

Business Analytics Module B**Linear Programming 291**

Discussion Questions 291

Active Model Exercise 292

ACTIVE MODEL B.1: LP Graph 292

End-of-Module Problems 292

Case Study 305

Quain Lawn and Garden Inc. 305

Video Case Study 306

Scheduling Challenges at Alaska Airlines 306

Additional Case Studies 306

Chase Manhattan Bank 306

Coastal States Chemical 307

Business Analytics Module C**Transportation Models 309**

Discussion Questions 309

End-of-Module Problems 310

Case Study 321

Custom Vans, Inc. 321

Additional Case Study 324

Consolidated Bottling: B 324

Business Analytics Module D**Waiting-Line Models 325**

Discussion Questions 325

Active Model Exercises 327

ACTIVE MODEL D.1: Single Server Model 327

ACTIVE MODEL D.2: Multiple Server System
with Costs 327

ACTIVE MODEL D.3: Constant Service Times 328

End-of-Module Problems 328

Case Studies 338

New England Foundry 338

The Winter Park Hotel 338

Additional Case Study 339

Pantry Shopper 339

Business Analytics Module E**Learning Curves 340**

Discussion Questions 340

Active Model Exercise 340

ACTIVE MODEL E.1: Unit Curve, Cumulative
Curve, and Costs 340

End-of-Module Problems 340

Case Study 344

SMT'S Negotiation with IBM 344

Business Analytics Module F**Simulation 345**

Discussion Questions 345

End-of-Module Problems 346

Case Study 356

Alabama Airlines Call Center 356

Additional Case Study 358

Saigon Transport 358

Online Tutorial 1**Statistical Tools for Managers 359**

Discussion Questions 359

End-of-Tutorial Problems 359

Online Tutorial 2**Acceptance Sampling 364**

Discussion Questions 364

End-of-Tutorial Problems 364

Online Tutorial 3**The Simplex Method of Linear Programming 366**

Discussion Questions 366

End-of-Tutorial Problems 366

Online Tutorial 4**The MODI and VAM Methods of Solving
Transportation Problems 372**

Discussion Questions 372

End-of-Tutorial Problems 372

Online Tutorial 5**Vehicle Routing and Scheduling 378**

Discussion Questions 378

End-of-Tutorial Problems 379

Case Study 380

Routing and Scheduling of Phlebotomists 380

CHAPTER

Operations and Productivity

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. The text suggests four reasons to study OM. We want to understand (1) how people organize themselves for productive enterprise, (2) how goods and services are produced, (3) what operations managers do, and (4) this costly part of our economy and most enterprises.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

2. Possible responses include: Adam Smith (work specialization/division of labor), Charles Babbage (work specialization/division of labor), Frederick W. Taylor (scientific management), Walter Shewart (statistical sampling and quality control), Henry Ford (moving assembly line), Charles Sorensen (moving assembly line), Frank and Lillian Gilbreth (motion study), Eli Whitney (standardization).

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

3. See references in the answer to Question 2.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

4. The actual charts will differ, depending on the specific organization the student chooses to describe. The important thing is for students to recognize that all organizations require, to a greater or lesser extent, (a) the three primary functions of operations, finance/accounting, and marketing; and (b) that the emphasis or detailed breakdown of these functions is dependent on the specific competitive strategy employed by the firm.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

5. The answer to this question may be similar to that for Question 4. Here, however, the student should be encouraged to utilize a more detailed knowledge of a past employer and indicate on the chart additional information such as the number of persons employed to perform the various functions and, perhaps, the position of the functional areas within the overall organization hierarchy.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

6. The basic functions of a firm are marketing, accounting/finance, and operations. An interesting class discussion: “Do all firms/organizations (private, government, not-for-profit) perform these three functions?” The authors’ hypothesis is yes, they do.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

7. The 10 strategic decisions of operations management are product design, quality, process, location, layout, human resources, supply-chain management, inventory, scheduling (aggregate and short term), and maintenance. We find this structure an excellent way to help students organize and learn the material.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

8. Four areas that are important to improving labor productivity are (1) basic education (basic reading and math skills), (2) diet of the labor force, (3) social overhead that makes labor available (water, sanitation, transportation, etc.), and (4) maintaining and expanding the skills necessary for changing technology and knowledge, as well as for teamwork and motivation.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Application of knowledge

9. Productivity is harder to measure when the task becomes more intellectual. A knowledge society implies that work is more intellectual and therefore harder to measure. Because the U.S. and many other countries are increasingly “knowledge” societies, productivity is harder to measure. Using labor-hours as a measure of productivity for a postindustrial society versus an industrial or agriculture society is very different. For example, decades spent developing a marvelous new drug or winning a very difficult legal case on intellectual property rights may be significant for postindustrial societies, but not show much in the way of productivity improvement measured in labor-hours.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Analytical thinking

10. Productivity is difficult to measure because precise units of measure may be lacking, quality may not be consistent, and exogenous variables may change.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Reflective thinking

11. Mass customization is the flexibility to produce to meet specific customer demands, without sacrificing the low cost of a product-oriented process. Rapid product development is a source of competitive advantage. Both rely on agility within the organization.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Application of knowledge

12. Labor productivity in the service sector is hard to improve because (1) many services are labor intensive and (2) they are individually (personally) processed (the customer is paying for that service—the haircut), (3) it may be an intellectual task performed by professionals, (4) it is often difficult to mechanize and automate, and (5) it is often difficult to evaluate for quality.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Reflective thinking

13. Taco Bell designed meals that were easy to prepare; with actual cooking and food preparation done elsewhere; automation to save preparation time; reduced floor space; manager training to increase span of control.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Application of knowledge.

14. Bureau of Labor Statistics (stats.bls.gov) is a good place to start. Results will vary for each year, but overall data for the economy will range from .9% to 4.8%, and mfg. could be as high as 5% and services between 1% and 2%. The data will vary even more for months or quarters. The data are frequently revised, often substantially.

LO 1.5: Compute multifactor productivity

AACSB: Application of knowledge

ETHICAL DILEMMA

AMERICAN CAR BATTERY INDUSTRY

You may want to begin the discussion by asking how ethical it is for you to be in the lead battery business when you know that any batteries you recycle will very likely find their way to an overseas facility (probably Mexico) with, at best, marginal pollution containment. Then after a likely conclusion of “Well someone has to provide batteries,” you can move to the following discussion.

- (a) As owner of an independent auto repair shop trying to dispose of a few old batteries each week, your options may be limited. But as an ethical operator, your first option is to put pressure on your battery supplier to take your old batteries. Alternatively, shop for a battery supplier who wants your business enough to dispose of your old batteries. Third, because there is obviously a market for the lead in old batteries, some aggressive digging may uncover an imaginative recycler who can work out an economical arrangement for pickup or delivery of your old batteries. Another option is, of course, to discontinue the sale of batteries. (This a problem for many small businesses; ethical decisions and regulation may be such that they often place an expensive and disproportionate burden on a small firm.)
- (b) As manager of a large retailer responsible for disposal of thousands of used batteries each week, you should have little trouble finding a battery supplier with a reverse supply chain suitable for disposal of old batteries. Indeed, a sophisticated retailer, early on in any supply-chain development process, includes responsible disposal of environmentally dangerous material as part of the negotiations. Disposal of old batteries should be a minor issue for a large retailer.

For both a small and large retailer, the solution is to find a “sustainable” solution or get out of the battery business. Burying the batteries behind the store is not an option. *Supplement 5: Sustainability in the Supply Chain* provides some guidelines for a deeper class discussion.

END-OF-CHAPTER PROBLEMS

1.1 (a) $\frac{120 \text{ boxes}}{40 \text{ hours}} = 3.0 \text{ boxes/hour}$

(b) $\frac{125 \text{ boxes}}{40 \text{ hours}} = 3.125 \text{ boxes/hour}$

(c) Change in productivity = 0.125 boxes/hour

(d) Percentage change = $\frac{0.125 \text{ boxes}}{3.0} = 4.167\%$

1.2 (a) Labor productivity is 160 valves/80 hours = 2 valves per hour.

(b) New labor productivity = 180 valves/80 hours = 2.25 valves per hour

(c) Percentage change in productivity = .25 valves/2 valves = 12.5%

1.3 $0.15 = \frac{57,600}{(160)(12)(L)}$, where L = number of laborers employed at the plant

So $L = \frac{57,600}{(160)(12)(0.15)} = 200$ laborers employed

1.4 (a) $\frac{\text{Units produced}}{\text{Input}} = \frac{100 \text{ pkgs}}{5} = 20 \text{ pkgs/hour}$

(b) $\frac{133 \text{ pkgs}}{5} = 26.6 \text{ pkgs per hour}$

(c) Increase in productivity = $\frac{6.6}{20} = 33.0\%$

1.5	Resource	Last Year	This Year	Change	Percentage Change
	Labor	$\frac{1,000}{300} = 3.33$	$\frac{1,000}{275} = 3.64$	0.31	$\frac{0.31}{3.33} = 9.3\%$
	Resin	$\frac{1,000}{50} = 20$	$\frac{1,000}{45} = 22.22$	2.22	$\frac{2.22}{20} = 11.1\%$
	Capital	$\frac{1,000}{10,000} = 0.1$	$\frac{1,000}{11,000} = 0.09$	-0.01	$\frac{-0.01}{0.1} = -10.0\%$
	Energy	$\frac{1,000}{3,000} = 0.33$	$\frac{1,000}{2,850} = 0.35$	0.02	$\frac{0.02}{0.33} = 6.1\%$

1.6		Last Year	This Year
	Production	1,000	1,000
	Labor hr. @ \$10	\$3,000	\$2,750
	Resin @ \$5	250	225
	Capital cost/month	100	110
	Energy	1,500	1,425
		\$4,850	\$4,510

$$\frac{[(1,000 / 4,510) - (1,000 / 4,850)]}{(1,000 / 4,850)} = \frac{0.222 - 0.206}{0.206} = \frac{0.016}{0.206} = 7.8\% \text{ improvement}^*$$

* with rounding to 3 decimal places.

1.7 Productivity = $\frac{\text{Output}}{\text{Input}}$

(a) Labor productivity = $\frac{65}{(520 \times 13)} = \frac{65}{\$6,760} = .0096 \text{ rugs per labor } \$$

(b) Multifactor productivity = $\frac{65}{(520 \times \$13) + (100 \times \$5) + (20 \times \$50)} = \frac{65}{\$8,260} = .00787 \text{ rugs per } \$$

1.8 (a) Labor productivity = 1,000 tires/400 hours = 2.5 tires/hour.

(b) Multifactor productivity is 1,000 tires/(400 × \$12.50 + 20,000 × \$1 + \$5,000 + \$10,000) = 1,000 tires/\$40,000 = 0.025 tires/dollar.

(c) Multifactor productivity changes from 1,000/40,000 to 1,000/39,000, or from 0.025 to 0.02564; the ratio is 1.0256, so the change is a 2.56% increase.

1.9		Last Year	This Year	Change	Percent Change
	Labor hrs.	$\frac{1,500}{350} = 4.29$	$\frac{1,500}{325} = 4.62$	$\frac{0.33}{4.29}$	= 7.7%
	Capital invested	$\frac{1,500}{15,000} = 0.10$	$\frac{1,500}{18,000} = 0.08$	$\frac{-0.02}{0.1}$	= -20%
	Energy (btu)	$\frac{1,500}{3,000} = 0.50$	$\frac{1,500}{2,750} = 0.55$	$\frac{0.05}{0.50}$	= 10%

Productivity of capital did drop; labor productivity increased as did energy, but by less than the anticipated 15%.

1.10 Multifactor productivity is:

$$\frac{375 \text{ autos}}{[(\$20 \times 10,000) + (\$1,000 \times 500) + (\$3 \times 100,000)]} = \frac{375}{(200,000 + 500,000 + 300,000)} = \frac{375}{1,000,000}$$

$$= .000375 \text{ autos per dollar of inputs}$$

1.11 (a) Before: $500/20 = 25$ boxes per hour;
 After, $650/24 = 27.08$
 (b) $27.08/25 = 1.083$, or an increase of 8.3% in productivity

(c) New labor productivity = $700/24 = 29.167$ boxes per hour

1.12 $1,500 \times 1.25 = 1,875$ (new demand)

$$\frac{\text{Outputs}}{\text{Inputs}} = \text{Productivity}$$

$$\frac{1,875}{\text{Labor-hours}} = 2.344$$

$$\text{New process} = \frac{1,875}{2.344} \cong 800 \text{ labor-hours}$$

$$\frac{800}{160} = 5 \text{ workers}$$

$$\text{Current process} = \frac{1,500}{\text{labor-hours}} = 2.344$$

$$\frac{1,500}{2.344} = \text{labor-hours} \cong 640$$

$$\frac{640}{160} = 4 \text{ workers}$$

Add one worker.

1.13 (a) Labor change:

$$\frac{1,500}{(640 \times \$8)} = \frac{1,500}{5,120} = .293 \text{ loaves/\$}$$

$$\frac{1,875}{(800 \times \$8)} = 0.293 \text{ loaves/\$}$$

(b) Investment change:

$$\frac{1,500}{(640 \times \$8)} = \frac{1,500}{5,120} = .293 \text{ loaves/\$}$$

$$\frac{1,875}{(640 \times 8) + (100)} = \frac{1,875}{5,220} = .359 \text{ loaves/\$}$$

(c) Percent change: $\frac{.293 - .293}{.293} = 0$ (labor)

$$\text{Percent change: } \frac{.359 - .293}{.293} = .225 = 22.5\% \text{ (investment)}$$

The better option is to purchase a new blender because it generates more loaves per dollar.

1.14 Old process = $\frac{1,500}{(640 \times 8) + 500 + (1,500 \times 0.35)}$

$$= \frac{1,500}{6,145} = 0.244 \text{ loaves/\$}$$

New process = $\frac{1,875}{(800 \times 8) + 500 + (1,875 \times 0.35)}$

$$= \frac{1,875}{7,556.25} = 0.248 \text{ loaves/\$}$$

$$\text{Percent change} = \frac{0.248 - 0.244}{0.244} = 1.6\%$$

1.15 (a) $\frac{6,600 \text{ vans}}{x \text{ labor-hours}} = 0.10$
 $x = 66,000 \text{ labor-hours}$

There are 300 laborers. So,

$$\frac{66,000 \text{ labor-hours}}{300 \text{ laborers}} = 220 \text{ labor-hours/laborer on average, per month}$$

(b) Now $\frac{6,600 \text{ vans}}{x \text{ labor-hours}} = 0.11$, so $x = 60,000 \text{ labor-hours}$
 so, $\frac{60,000 \text{ labor-hours}}{300 \text{ laborers}} = 200 \text{ labor-hours/laborer on average, per month}$

1.16 $\frac{\$ \text{ output}}{\text{Labor-hours}} = \frac{52(\$90) + 80(\$198)}{8(45)}$

$$= \frac{\$20,520}{360} = \$57.00 \text{ per labor-hour}$$

1.17 Last year = $\frac{1,500}{(350 \times 8) + (15,000 \times 0.0083) + (3,000 \times 0.6)}$

$$= \frac{1,500}{2,800 + 124.50 + 1,800}$$

$$= \frac{1,500}{4,724.5} = 0.317 \text{ doz / \$}$$

This year = $\frac{1500}{(325 \times 8) + (18,000 \times 0.0083) + (2,750 \times 0.6)}$

$$= 0.341 \text{ doz / \$}$$

$$\text{Percent change} = \frac{0.341 - 0.317}{0.317} = 0.076, \text{ or } 7.6\% \text{ increase}$$

CASE STUDY

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

1. *The market has decided that Uber and its immediate competitors are adding efficiency to our society. How is Uber providing that efficiency?*

First, some drivers (maybe most) may not require a wage that equals those fully engaged in the “taxi” business. It truly could be a supplemental income. . . . “I’m going that way anyhow so let’s make a few dollars while on the way.” Similarly, the capital investment cost approaches zero as the car is going that direction anyhow. These are idle or underutilized resources.

From society’s perspective, Uber and its like competitors are desirable because both idle or wasted labor and capital resources are being utilized. At the same time, as a bonus, Uber is reducing traffic and auto pollution while speeding up the transport of individuals and local commerce.

As a competitor for the traditional taxi service, Uber seems to be an enhancement in efficiency.

For those faculty who want to spend some time on the larger productivity message, this case provides such an opportunity. Uber, as Joseph Schumpeter would suggest, has developed a disruptive technology (creative destruction, in a Schumpeterian translation). Innovations such as this are exactly how economic efficiency is enhanced. The traditional taxi services, with some imagination, could have developed and adopted this technology, but most were ensconced in their own regulatory cocoon. As is often the case, it takes an outsider, such as Uber et al. to be creative by putting unused resources to use and providing society greater efficiency.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Analytical thinking

2. *Do you think the Uber model will work in the trucking industry?*

Perhaps a business model similar to Uber’s can be applied to the trucking industry. An estimated 30% of trucking backhauls are empty. However, the number of independent truckers or truckers with the latitude to alter their route may be very small. And this number must be a tiny fraction of independent automobile drivers. So the ability to “Uberize” trucking may be very difficult. Utilizing that idle 30%, if it can be done, is a huge benefit to society. We will see.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Analytical thinking

3. *In what other areas/industries might the Uber model be used?*

Perhaps the Uber model can be used for package delivery, documents, and everything from flowers to groceries. Airbnb (www.airbnb.com) is applying a similar model to short-term rentals of rooms, apartments, and homes—competing with more traditional bed and breakfast facilities and hotels.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Analytical thinking

VIDEO CASE STUDIES

1 FRITO-LAY: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT IN MANUFACTURING

This case provides a great opportunity for an instructor to stimulate a class discussion early in the course about the pervasiveness of the 10 decisions of OM with this case alone or in conjunction with the Hard Rock Cafe case. A 7-minute video filmed specifically for this case is available from Pearson.

1. *From your knowledge of production processes and from the case and the video, identify how each of the 10 decisions of OM is applied at Frito-Lay.*

- **Product design:** Each of Frito-Lay’s 40-plus products must be conceived, formulated (designed), tested (market studies, focus groups, etc.), and evaluated for profitability.
- **Quality:** The standards for each ingredient, including its purity and quality, must be determined.
- **Process:** The process that is necessary to produce the product and the tolerance that must be maintained for each ingredient by each piece of equipment must be specified and procured.
- **Location:** The fixed and variable costs of the facility, as well as the transportation costs in and the delivery distance, given the freshness, must be determined.
- **Layout:** The Frito-Lay facility would be a process facility, with great care given to reducing movement of material within the facility.
- **Human resources:** Machine operators may not have inherently enriched jobs, so special consideration must be given to developing empowerment and enriched jobs.
- **Supply-chain management:** Frito-Lay, like all other producers of food products, must focus on developing and auditing raw material from the farm to delivery.
- **Inventory:** Freshness and spoilage require constant effort to drive down inventories.
- **Scheduling:** The demand for high utilization of a capital-intensive facility means effective scheduling will be important.
- **Maintenance:** High utilization requires good maintenance, from machine operator to the maintenance department and depot service.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Reflective thinking

2. *How would you determine the productivity of the production processes at Frito-Lay?*

Determining output (in some standard measure, perhaps pounds) and labor-hours would be a good start for single-factor productivity.

For multifactor productivity, we would need to develop and understand capital investment and energy, as well as labor, and then translate those into a standard, such as dollars.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Reflective thinking

3. How are the 10 decisions of OM different when applied by the operations manager of a production process such as Frito-Lay than when applied by a service organization such as Hard Rock Cafe?

Hard Rock performs all 10 of the decisions as well, only with a more service-sector orientation. Each of these is discussed in the solution to the Hard Rock Cafe case.

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity

AACSB: Reflective thinking

2 HARD ROCK CAFE: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT IN SERVICES

There is a short video (7 minutes) available from Pearson and filmed specifically for this text that supplements this case.

1. Hard Rock's 10 decisions: This is early in the course to discuss these in depth, but still a good time to get the students engaged in the 10 OM decisions around which the text is structured.

- *Product design:* Hard Rock's tangible product is food and like any tangible product it must be designed, tested, and "costed out." The intangible product includes the music, memorabilia, and service.
- *Quality:* The case mentions the quality survey as an overt quality measure, but quality can be discussed from a variety of perspectives—hiring the right people, food ingredients, good suppliers, speed of service, friendliness, etc.
- *Process:* The process can be discussed from many perspectives: (a) the process of processing a guest, to their seat, taking the order, order processing, delivery of the meal, payment, etc., (b) the process of how a meal is prepared (see, for instance, how one would make a Hard Rock Hickory BBQ Bacon Cheeseburger (Figure 5.9) or a Buffalo Chicken Mac & Cheese (Figure 14.9) or use the Method Analysis tool discussed in Chapter 10, or (c) some subset of any of these.
- *Location:* Hard Rock Cafes have traditionally been located in tourist locations, but that is beginning to change.
- *Layout:* Little discussion in the case, but students may be very aware that a kitchen layout is critical to efficient food preparation and that a bar is critical in many food establishments for profitability. The retail shop in relation to the restaurant and its layout is a critical ingredient for profitability at Hard Rock.
- *Human resources:* Jim Knight, VP for Human Resources at Hard Rock, seeks people who are passionate about music, love to serve, can tell a story. This OM decision is a critical ingredient for success of a Hard Rock Cafe and an integral part of the Hard Rock dining experience.
- *Supply-chain management:* Although not discussed in the case, students should appreciate the importance of the supply chain in any food service operation. Some items like leather jackets have a 9-month lead time. Contracts for meat and poultry are signed 8 months in advance.
- *Inventory:* Hard Rock, like any restaurant, has a critical inventory issue that requires that food be turned over rapidly and that food in inventory be maintained at the appropriate and often critical temperatures. But the interesting thing about Hard Rock's inventory is that they maintain \$40 million of memorabilia with all sorts of special care, tracking, and storage issues.

- *Scheduling:* Because most Hard Rock Cafe's sales are driven by tourists, the fluctuations in seasonal, daily, and hourly demands for food are huge. This creates a very interesting and challenging task for the operations managers at Hard Rock. (Not mentioned in the case, linear programming is actually used in some cafes to schedule the waitstaff.)
- *Maintenance/reliability:* The Hard Rock Cafe doors must open every day for business. Whatever it takes to provide a reliable kitchen with hot food served hot and cold food served cold must be done. Bar equipment and point-of-sale equipment must also work.

LO 1.1: Define operations management

AACSB: Reflective thinking

2. Productivity of kitchen staff is simply the output (number of meals) over the input (hours worked). The calculation is how many meals prepared over how many hours spent preparing them. The same kind of calculation can be done for the waitstaff. In fact, Hard Rock managers begin with productivity standards and staff to achieve those levels. (You may want to revisit this issue when you get to Chapter 10 and Supplement 10 on labor standards and discuss how labor can be allocated on a per-item basis with more precision.)

LO 1.4: Compute single-factor productivity

AACSB: Analytical thinking

3. Each of the 10 decisions discussed in Question 1 can be addressed with a tangible product like an automobile.

- *Product design:* The car must be designed, tested, and costed out. The talents may be those of an engineer or operations manager rather than a chef, but the task is the same.
- *Quality:* At an auto plant, quality may take the form of measuring tolerances or wear of bearings, but there is still a quality issue.
- *Process:* With an auto, the process is more likely to be an assembly-line process.
- *Location:* Hard Rock Cafe may want to locate at tourist destinations, but an auto manufacturer may want to go to a location that will yield low fixed or variable cost.
- *Layout:* An automobile assembly plant is going to be organized on an assembly line criterion.
- *Human resources:* An auto assembly plant will be more focused on hiring factory skills rather than a passion for music or personality.
- *Supply-chain management:* The ability of suppliers to contribute to design and low cost may be a critical factor in the modern auto plant.
- *Inventory:* The inventory issues are entirely different—tracking memorabilia at Hard Rock, but an auto plant requires tracking a lot of expensive inventory that must move fast.
- *Scheduling:* The auto plant is going to be most concerned with scheduling material, not people.
- *Maintenance:* Maintenance may be even more critical in an auto plant as there is often little alternate routing, and downtime is very expensive because of high fixed and variable cost.

LO 1.2: Explain the distinction between goods and services.

AACSB: Reflective thinking

**ADDITIONAL CASE STUDIES
(available in MyOMLab)**

NATIONAL AIR EXPRESS

This case can be used to introduce the issue of productivity and how to improve it, as well as the difficulty of good consistent measures of productivity. This case can also be used to introduce some of the techniques and concepts of OM.

1. The number of stops per driver is certainly a good place to start. However, mileage and number of shipments will probably be good additional variables. (Regression techniques, addressed in Chapter 4, can be addressed here.)

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity
AACSB: Analytical thinking

2. Customer service should be based on an analysis of customer requirements. Document requirements in terms of services desired (supply needs, preprinted waybills, package weights, pickup and drop-off requirements) should all be considered. (The house of quality technique discussed in Chapter 5 is one approach for such an analysis.)

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity
AACSB: Analytical thinking

3. Other companies in the industry do an effective job of establishing very good labor standards for their drivers, sorters, and phone personnel. Difficult perhaps, but doable. (Work measurement in Chapter 10 addresses labor standards.)

LO 1.6: Identify the critical variables in enhancing productivity
AACSB: Analytical thinking

ZYCHOL CHEMICALS CORPORATION

1. The analysis of the productivity data is shown below:

Single-Factor Productivity Analysis	2016	2017	Adjusted Cost*	Adjusted Total Cost
Production (units)	4,500	6,000		
Material Used (Barrels)	700	900		
Material Cost per Barrel	\$320.00	\$360.00	\$345.60 ← (360/1.04167) ↗	\$311,040 ← (900 × 345.60) ↗
Labor-Hours	22,000	28,000		
Compensation Rate	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$13.44 ← (14/1.04167) ↗	\$376,320 ← (28,000 × \$13.44) ↗
Capital Applied (\$)	\$375,000	\$620,000	\$595,200 ← (620,000/1.04167) ↗	\$595,200
Producer Price Index (PPI)	120	125		\$1,282,560
*CHANGE IN PPI = 4.167% = (125/120 = 1.04167)				
Total Cost	\$885,000	\$1,336,000		\$1,282,560 (Adjusted)

Multifactor Productivity (MFP) Analysis	2016	2017	% Change	
Labor Productivity (Units per hr.)	4,500/22,000 = 0.2045	6,000/28,000 = 0.2143	4.79%	Nearly reached the goal
Material Productivity (Units per barrel)	4,500/700 = 6.4286	6,000/900 = 6.6667	3.70%	Positive change
Capital Productivity (Units per \$)	4,500/375,000 = 0.0120	6,000/620,000 = 0.0097	-19.17%	Large negative change

	2016	2017	
MFP Before Adjustment (per \$)	0.00508	0.00449	(0.00449 - .00508)/0.00508 = -11.61%
MFP After Adjustment (per \$)	0.00508	0.00468	(0.00468 - .00508)/0.00508 = -7.88%

Both labor and material productivity increased, but capital equipment productivity did not. The net result is a large negative change in productivity. If this is a one-time change in the accounting procedures, this negative change should also be a one-time anomaly. The effect of accounting procedures is often beyond the control of managers. For example, perhaps the capital allocation is based on an accelerated allocation of depreciation of newly installed technology. This accounting practice will seriously impact near-term productivity and then later years' productivity figures will benefit from the reduced depreciation flows. This highlights the difficulty in accounting for costs in an effective managerial manner. Decisions and evaluation of operating results should be based on sound managerial accounting practices and not necessarily generally accepted financial accounting principles.

LO 1.4: Compute single-factor productivity

LO 1.5: Compute multifactor productivity

AACSB: Analytical thinking

2. An analysis of adjusted results reduces the negative impact on the capital allocation but there is still a negative growth in multifactor productivity. After adjustment for inflation, the material costs are still higher in 2017. Yet, one must be aware of the extra volatility of the cost of petroleum-based products. Did the manager have control over his price increases? One should look at the changes in a petroleum-based price index, including the cost of oil, over the last two years in order to gain a better understanding of the degree to which the manager had control over these costs. The increase in wages was beyond the manager's control, and a constant rate should be used for comparing both years' results. Yet a negative result still remains. Even when material costs in 2017 are converted to the original cost of \$320, a negative 5% growth in productivity remains. The increase in the capital base is responsible yet should not persist in future years if the increase was the result of an adoption of new technology.

LO 1.4: Compute single-factor productivity

LO 1.5: Compute multifactor productivity

AACSB: Analytical thinking

3. The manager did not reach the goal. An analysis of the changes in capital costs is warranted. Even after adjusting for inflation, multifactor productivity was not positive. However, labor and materials productivity was favorable. The capital investment cost (as figured by the accounting department) was so large as to make his multifactor productivity negative. Multifactor productivity has fallen by 11.61% before adjustment and by 7.87% after the adjustment for inflation.

LO 1.5: Compute multifactor productivity

AACSB: Application of knowledge